



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,723	07/31/2003	Joseph Bartle	JHM704	9360
7590 05/04/2005			EXAMINER	
Joseph H. McGlynn 6111 Saddle Horn Dr.			PICKARD, ALISON K	
Fairfax, VA 22030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,	•		, 3676	

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/630,723	BARTLE, JOSEPH			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alison K. Pickard	3676			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.	•			
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 2,3 and 8-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2,3 and 8-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/630,723 Page 2

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 2, 3, 8, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chu (6,334,713).

Chu discloses a seal assembly comprising a seal element 3 having an aperture. The aperture has grooves and lands 16 formed on the aperture to secure the seal element to a shaft. The grooves form serpentine passageways (see col. 5, lines 19-23, since lands are serpentine, grooves between them would be too). Element 2 compresses the seal element around the shaft.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2, 3, and 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bowers (5,409,241) in view of Hawley (5,024,450) in view of Chu.

Art Unit: 3676

Bowers discloses a seal assembly comprising a seal element 55 with an aperture secured on an external portion of a shaft. The assembly comprises a rotator cup 38 in an aperture of a body block 12. The rotator cup engages an external surface of the seal element and is compressed there against by a plate 50 or 31. The plate 31 is secured to the block and engages the rotator cup. A passageway 29 extends from an exterior portion of the block to provide flushing means to an area around the seal element. Bowers does not disclose securing means (i.e. lands and grooves) in the aperture of the seal element. Hawley teaches a seal assembly comprising a seal element secured to a shaft. Hawley teaches plural lands 31 and grooves 32 in the aperture to sealing engage the shaft and firmly retain the sealing element in place. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the seal element of Bowers with the lands and grooves taught by Hawley to ensure a fluid tight seal between the element and shaft.

Hawley does not disclose that the passages are serpentine. Chu teaches different shapes of lands and grooves used on a sealing member including a serpentine shape. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the passageways serpentine as such is an art equivalent shape as taught by Chu.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 2-15-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive and are moot in view of the new grounds for rejection.

Applicant's specification makes no mention of the serpentine shape. Thus, no criticality of such shape has been disclosed and can still be considered a design choice. However, making the passageways serpentine is taught to be an art equivalent shape by Chu.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alison K. Pickard whose telephone number is 571-272-7062. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10-7:30), with alternate Friday's off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on 571-272-7049. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,723 Page 5

Art Unit: 3676

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alison K. Pickard Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676

AP